



## Succession of ground-dwelling beetle assemblages after fire in three habitat types in the Andean forest of NW Patagonia, Argentina

Yamila Sasal<sup>a\*</sup>, Estela Raffaele<sup>b</sup>, Alejandro G. Farji-Brener<sup>c</sup>

Laboratorio Ecotono. INIBIOMA. CONICET - Universidad Nacional del Comahue, S. C. de Bariloche, Río Negro, Argentina

### Abstract

Wildfires are one of the major disturbances in the dynamics of forests and shrublands. However, little is known about their effects on insect communities that contribute to faunal biodiversity and play key roles in the ecosystem's dynamics. An intense and widespread fire occurred in 1999 in the Nahuel Huapi National Park in the Andean forest in northern Patagonia, Argentina. This fire affected adjacent, but different, habitat types. After the fire, beetle abundance, species richness and assemblage composition were compared among three habitats that were structurally different before the fire. These habitats were: 1) evergreen forest dominated by *Nothofagus dombeyi* (Mirb.) Oerst. (Fagales: Nothofagaceae), 2) a mixed forest of the evergreen conifer *Austrocedrus chilensis* (D. Don) Pic. Serm. and Bizzarri (Pinales: Cupressaceae) and *N. dombeyi* and 3) a shrubland with a diverse community of shrub species. The relationship between beetle diversity and vegetation structure was investigated over three consecutive years. Ground beetles were collected by pitfall traps, and plant species richness, vegetation cover, and height were measured. Beetle communities varied more over years between habitats during the early regeneration after fire. There was a shift in beetle assemblage composition with time after the fire in all habitat types, probably due to similar colonization rates and microclimatic conditions. Therefore, beetle succession was more influenced by recolonization and survivorship, accompanied by climatic conditions and recovery rate of plant communities over time, than it was influenced by pre-fire habitat conditions. These results suggest that in NW Patagonia, wildfire can have a substantial, short-term impact on beetle abundance and species composition. The pre-fire conditions of each habitat type determined the structure of post-fire communities of plants but not beetle assemblages. Wildfires produce simplification and homogenization of habitat types, and this was reflected by beetle diversity.

**Keywords:** Coleoptera, *Austrocedrus chilensis*, *Nothofagus dombeyi*, shrubland, post-fire succession

**Correspondence:** <sup>a\*</sup> yamila.sasal@conicet.gov.ar, <sup>b</sup> eraffael@crub.uncoma.edu.ar, <sup>c</sup> alefarji@crub.uncoma.edu.ar

\*Corresponding author

**Received:** 3 June 2008, **Accepted:** 18 November 2008

**Copyright :** This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed.

**ISSN:** 1536-2442 | Vol. 10, Number 37

#### Cite this paper as:

Sasal Y, Raffaele E, Farji-Brener AG. 2010. Succession of ground-dwelling beetle assemblages after fire in three habitat types in the Andean forest of NW Patagonia, Argentina. 17pp. *Journal of Insect Science* 10:37 available online: [insectscience.org/10.37](http://insectscience.org/10.37)

## Introduction

---

Fire is one of the most common disturbances that can cause dramatic changes in species diversity (Whelan 1995). Its effects depend on spatial heterogeneity, vegetation types, abiotic conditions, and human activities (Veblen et al. 1999; Mermoz et al. 2005). The ecological impact of fires depends on their frequency, intensity, and extent, but always causes simplification of the burned habitat (Bulan and Barrett 1971). Habitats that differ in vegetation structure may also suffer this simplification in different ways. Intense fires reduce the litter layer to mineral ash, and they kill all the above-ground vegetation. This produces changes in microclimatic conditions by increasing temperature and reducing humidity above ground (Bond and van Wilgen 1996; Paritsis et al. 2006) and below ground (Alauzis et al. 2004). This initial habitat simplification leads to high similarity among post-fire habitats in sites that differed structurally before fire. However, in different pre-fire habitat types, surviving organisms dictate much of the initial successional pattern and influence the competitive environment encountered by colonizers (Turner et al. 1998).

In northwestern Patagonia, wildfire is a major disturbance that affects the dynamics of forests and shrublands. Fires are very frequent; it has been estimated that for the last 100 years, fire intervals have ranged from 3 to 17 years (Veblen et al. 1999). Additionally, wildfires were often of high intensity and burned large areas (Veblen et al. 1999). Qualitative historical descriptions from the 18<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> centuries, as well as historical photographs from the late 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> centuries, indicate that extensive, severe fires occurred in all the woodland and forest types (Veblen et al. 2003). The widespread occur-


rence of fires in this region in the late 1990s provided a rare opportunity to examine the effects of large wildfires on different communities. Numerous studies have documented post-fire vegetation changes in the Andean forest (Veblen and Lorenz 1987, 1988; Gobbi et al. 1995; Raffaele and Veblen 1998, 2001; Ghermandi et al. 2004) and fire history (Kitzberger et al. 1997; Veblen et al. 1999). However, few studies have addressed the effects of fire on insects and other arthropods, such as beetles, that contribute substantially to faunal biodiversity and play key roles in the ecosystem's dynamic (Farji-Brener et al. 2002; Sackmann and Farji-Brener 2006). Moreover, in this region, beetles are poorly known, are highly diverse in species number and trophic functions, and present high endemism (Morrone and Roig-Juñent 1995). Beetles have also proven to be useful bioindicators for environment monitoring and assessment, with their high diversity and sensitivity to environmental conditions providing a fine-grained view of ecological change (Orgeas and Andersen 2001). Coleoptera are known to respond to factors such as vegetation complexity and microclimate and to conditions in the soil and litter layers (Niemelä et al. 1993; Baker 2006), and these factors are likely to vary in post-fire succession. In addition, beetles are good colonizers, as many species can fly and others are active foragers that become abundant in post-fire sites (Borrer et al. 1992; Swengel 2001). These reasons make beetle assemblage appropriate for studying the effects of fire disturbance on insect community structures in different habitat types.

In this study case the initial response of beetle diversity to a wildfire was examined. An intense and widespread fire occurred in 1999 that affected adjacent, but different, habitat types, allowing the study of changes over time

in coleopteran assemblages under different pre-fire conditions. In northern Patagonia, following the burning of shrublands and xeric open forests, resprouting shrubs often dominate post-fire regeneration, while vegetative regeneration of tree species is rare. The establishment of tree species is often facilitated by already established shrubs that operate as nurse plants (Raffaele and Veblen 1998; Kitzberger et al. 2000). Shrubland communities are particularly well-adapted to regenerate by resprouting after fire (Veblen et al. 2003). This strategy allows this system to reach its pre-fire biomass and composition in a few years, giving it an extraordinary resilience to this kind of disturbance. Because different habitats often support different beetle assemblages (Lövei and Sunderland 1996), we hypothesized that the effect of habitat type, defined by pre-fire conditions, would determine distinct post-fire communities

because beetle diversity is different among different habitats and years and because their successional patterns will be related to vegetation structure.

The specific aims of the study were: 1) to compare beetle abundance, richness, and assemblage composition in three adjacent habitats for three years after the fire, 2) to describe the relationship between beetle diversity and vegetation structure in these habitats after the fire, and 3) to determine whether beetle abundance and richness were associated with different early post-fire mosaics of vegetation and which of the vegetation variables (e.g. plant species richness, vegetation cover, and height) could explain successional beetle assemblages of each habitat type.



## Materials and Methods

### Study area

The study was conducted in the Andean forest in northern Patagonia, Argentina, in the Nahuel Huapi National Park (40° 38' S - 72° 42' W; 850 m above sea level)(Figure 1a), during three growing seasons (2002, 2003, and 2004). In this region the mean minimum temperature is -2° C (July), the mean maximum is 23° C (January), and the mean annual temperature is 8° C. Precipitation is concentrated mainly in autumn and winter, and it occurs as snow, with an annual rainfall of 1700 mm (Barros et al. 1983), resulting in an asynchrony between the wet season and the growing season, and there are strong summer water deficits (Paruelo et al. 1998). At this latitude, mean precipitation decreases abruptly from about 4000 mm/yr on the western side of the Andes to less than 500 mm/yr, only 80 km to the east (De Fina 1972). As a result, forest species composition from northwestern Patagonia changes along the precipitation gradient east to west and also along the temperature gradient associated with an increase in elevation (Veblen et al. 1992). In the wetter area, the lowland rain forests are mainly dominated by the evergreen *Nothofagus dombeyi* (Mirb.) Oerst. (Fagales: Nothofagaceae). In the intermediate of the precipitation gradient, at low elevations, *N. dombeyi* forms monospecific mesic forests or mixed stands with the conifer *Austrocedrus chilensis* (D. Don) Pic. Serm. & Bizzarri (Pinales: Cupressaceae) at drier sites, and in the eastern region, this conifer forms relatively open woodlands. In the western and central areas, forest understory is typically dominated by dense and tall (> 2 m) populations of *Chusquea culeou* Desvaux (Poales: Poaceae). Tall and dense shrublands occur throughout the western to eastern precipitation gradient at sites that are not

edaphically suitable for development of tall forests or that are successional communities that develop after burning of tall forests (Mermoz et al. 2005). Extensive post-fire stands of tall *Nothofagus* and/or *Austrocedrus* forests are characterized by low regeneration due to the obligate seed reproduction being able to be replaced by shrubland with vigorous post-fire resprouting (Kitzberger and Veblen 1999; Veblen et al. 2003).

In February 1999, an extensive (3821 ha) and severe wildfire affected the study site (Figure 1b) (“Administración de Parques Nacionales,” unpublished report “PN Nahuel Huapi Argentina: Incendios temporada 1998-1999”). The intense fire consumed all forest floor litter (Alauzis et al. 2004) and killed the above-ground vegetation, leaving all standing trees killed. Three adjacent habitat types were studied, each one defined by pre-fire dominating vegetation: 1) evergreen forest dominated by *N. dombeyi* (*N. dombeyi* forest) with a typically dense understory of *C. culeou*, 2) a mixed forest of the evergreen conifer *A. chilensis* (*A. chilensis* forest) and *N. dombeyi*, and 3) a shrubland (shrubby community) where a diverse community of shrub species such as *Schinus patagonicus*, *Discaria articulata* and *Lomatia hirsuta* coexist. Replication fires were not possible because no fires with the same characteristics of severity and spread (3821 ha) were found. The study area was 7 kilometres from unburned shrubland, 10 kilometres from unburned *N. dombeyi* forest, and 14 kilometres from unburned *A. chilensis* forest. It was not possible to get unburned controls because of these distances and the heterogeneity of the landscape. Also it was not possible to get pre-fire controls because this fire was natural and not prescribed.

### Experimental design

In the three adjacent habitat types noted above, areas were selected that were severely and homogeneously burnt in 1999. Within each habitat type, five replicate areas of 1600 m<sup>2</sup> (40 x 40 m plots) positioned at least 100 m apart (Figure 1c) were delimited. These separation distances are within the range reported in previous studies (Rykken et al. 1997; Koivula et al. 1999), so it can be assumed to provide independent information for ecological analysis of ground-dwelling arthropods avoiding spatial autocorrelation (Moretti et al. 2004). Beetles may move up to 60 m by foot (Koivula et al. 1999), thus the scale we used in this study was appropriate for detecting habitat selection. Sampling of the beetles and vegetation was carried out during the austral summers of 2002, 2003, and 2004 because spring and summer seasons correspond to the major activity period of arthropods in this temperate region.

### Beetle sampling

Ground beetles were collected by 8 pitfall traps within each 40 x 40 m plot. Traps were placed along two transects (4 traps per transect) at 5 m intervals. Transects were placed no closer than 10 m. Each trap consisted of a 500 ml plastic cup partially filled with preservative solution (ethylene glycol, water 5:95) and buried in the soil. Pitfall traps were left open for 5 days during each January and February; this corresponded to the summer season period of thermal activity of insects (Niemelä 1990). The contents of the 8 traps of two intervals (January and February) were pooled into one sample per plot per year. Samples were sorted in the laboratory. Whenever possible beetles were identified to species, otherwise they were assigned to morphospecies. The identifications were checked and modified by appropriate specialists (see Acknowledge-

ments). Voucher specimens are held at the Laboratory Ecotono, Universidad Nacional del Comahue, Río Negro, Argentina.

Three beetle assemblage traits were analysed: abundance, species richness and composition. Beetle abundance was expressed as the total number of individuals per plot, habitat, and year. Richness was calculated by the randomization process using EcoSim ® (Gotelli and Entsminger 2001). Rarefaction eliminated variation in species richness due to differences in sample size (e.g. number of beetles captured at each collecting station) by re-sampling a pool of individuals repeatedly, at random, on each habitat type (Gotelli and Colwell 2001).

### Vegetation sampling

To determine post-fire vegetation structure on the three habitat types over years, on each 40 x 40 m plot, 40 circular sub-plots of 80 cm radius (2 m<sup>2</sup>) were installed systematically on a 1 x 1 m grid. On each sub-plot the following data were recorded: 1) total number of vascular species (richness), 2) the cover of all vascular plant species using a scale from 1-100%, and 3) maximum heights of the woody species (vertical distance above the ground). Mean vegetation cover was calculated on average of 40 subplots per plot. Plant richness was not calculated by randomization because sample size was the same for all plots and sub-plots.

### Data analysis

Repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to examine whether ground-active beetles responded differently over time under different habitat types (Mead 1988). Two measures of beetle response were examined: total beetle abundance and rarefied beetle species richness. The analysis included years and habitat types (*N. dombeyi* forest, *A.*

*chilensis* forest and shrubland community) as fixed factors. Tukey tests were used for posteriori comparisons (Sokal and Rohlf 1995). Analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) with Bray-Curtis similarity index was used to determine if there were significant differences in beetle assemblages during the years since the fire (2002, 2003, and 2004) and among habitat types (*N. dombeyi* forest, *A. chilensis* forest and shrubland community). ANOSIM is a non-parametric permutation procedure applied to rank similarity matrices underlying sample ordinations (Clarke and Warwick 2001), that produces a global R-statistic, which is an absolute measure of distance between groups. An R-value approaching 1 indicates strongly distinct assemblages, whereas an R-value close to zero indicates that the assemblages are barely separable. To illustrate patterns in beetle assemblage composition in relation to habitat types and years, non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination with the Bray-Curtis similarity index (Clarke 1993) was used. Beetle species characteristics of the three habitat types and years were identified using the Indicator Value method (Dufrêne and Legendre 1997). This method assesses the degree to which a species fulfills the criteria of specificity (uniqueness to a particular habitat) and fidelity (frequency of occurrence). A high indicator value (IndVal, expressed as percentage) indicates that a species can be considered characteristic of a particular habitat or site. This method can derive indicators for hierarchical and non-hierarchical site classifications and is robust to differences in the numbers of sites among site groups (McGeoch and Chown 1998). Indicator values for each species were calculated based on a species abundance matrix, and Dufrêne and Legendre's (1997) random reallocation procedure of sites among site groups was used to test for the

significance of IndVal measures for each species. Dufrêne and Legendre (1997) was followed in assuming a species is characteristic of a habitat if the species IndVal is > 25% and significant at  $p < 0.05$ .

Overall, to test differences in vegetation structure (plant species richness, vegetation cover and height) among habitats over time, repeated-measures ANOVA (Mead 1998) were performed including habitat types and years as fixed factors. Tukey tests were used for posteriori comparisons (Sokal and Rohlf 1995). Analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) with Bray-Curtis similarity index was used to determine if there were significant differences in vegetation assemblages between years since fire (2002, 2003, and 2004) and among habitat types (*N. dombeyi* forest, *A. chilensis* forest and shrubland community). To illustrate patterns in vegetation assemblage composition in relation to habitat types and years, non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination was used with the Bray-Curtis similarity index (Clarke 1993).

To describe the relationship between beetles and vegetation, correlations were performed between beetle abundance and total species richness with vegetation structure parameters (richness, cover, and height). Because different vegetation types could recover at different rates and because beetles could respond to different characteristics of these habitats, the relationship between beetles and vegetation was calculated with data of the last year, 2004. Additionally, to describe the relationship between beetle and vegetation composition, a Mantel permutation test was performed with 10,000 randomizations (Mantel 1967). The beetle and vegetation similarity matrixes were constructed using Bray-Curtis similarity measures per habitat

type only for the last year, 2004 (Legendre and Legendre 1998). To explain the successional relationship between beetle assemblages with vegetation variables over years, the BIOENV procedure was used on each habitat (Clarke and Ainsworth 1993). BIOENV produces a rank-correlation coefficient among measures for the biological distance among years on each habitat (calculated by using assemblage composition data for each habitat over years) plotted against measures for environmental distance among habitats (calculated by using vegetation data for each habitat over years). The beetle and vegetation similarity matrix were constructed using Bray-Curtis similarity measures per habitat type over years (Legendre and Legendre 1998). Spearman's rank correlation coefficients ( $\rho$ ) were then calculated for the species matrix and each of the vegetation variables (richness, vegetation cover and vegetation height per habitat type). The variable or set of variables that have the highest  $\rho$ -value are those that best explain the beetle species data (Clarke and Gorley 2001).

## Results

### Beetle succession

Over the three years following the wildfire, a total of 2,734 adult beetles were collected from 57 species belonging to 24 families. The presence and location of beetle species caught are shown in the [Appendix](#). The dominant families were Carabidae with 11 species, comprising 70% of all individuals collected, followed by Staphylinidae with 7 species (17%), Coccinellidae with 6 species (1.5%), Curculionidae with 4 species (0.3%) and Leiodidae with 4 species (2.5%) ([Appendix](#)). The majority of beetles collected were predators like Carabidae, Staphylinidae and Coccinellidae, but some families were herbivorous like Curculionidae or scavengers like Leiodidae.

The total beetle abundance showed differences in habitat types over years, between habitat types and among years (Table I). In the habitat types over years, the total beetle abundance in the shrubland community was lowest in 2002, reached a maximum in 2003, and decreased to intermediate values in 2004

**Table 1.** ANOVA's results for beetle total abundance and rarefied species richness at different habitat types. during 2002-2004 sampling periods.

Beetles	Habitat		Year		Habitat x year*	
	F	p	F	p	F	p
Total abundance	8.15	<b>0.006</b>	5.17	<b>0.014</b>	4.47	<b>0.007</b>
Rarefied species richness	2.38	0.13	10.28	<b>0.001</b>	0.68	0.609

\* Statistical analysis included 3 habitats x 3 years. Error term DF: 24  
Significant effects are shown in bold type.


**Table 2.** Analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) for beetle and vegetation assemblage composition between habitat types and years.

	Beetles		Vegetation	
	R	p	R	p
<b>Habitats</b>	0.2	<b>0.001</b>	0.6	<b>0.001</b>
Shrubland vs. <i>N. dombeyi</i> forest	0.2	<b>0.01</b>	0.8	<b>0.001</b>
Shrubland vs. <i>A. chilensis</i> forest	0.3	<b>0.005</b>	0.4	<b>0.001</b>
<i>N. dombeyi</i> forest vs. <i>A. chilensis</i> forest	0.2	<b>0.03</b>	0.7	<b>0.001</b>
<b>Years</b>	0.6	<b>0.001</b>	0.7	<b>0.001</b>
2002 vs. 2003	0.6	<b>0.001</b>	0.8	<b>0.001</b>
2002 vs. 2004	0.8	<b>0.001</b>	0.9	<b>0.001</b>
2003 vs. 2004	0.3	<b>0.003</b>	0.4	<b>0.001</b>

The R-statistic is a measure of the similarity of assemblages, and reflects the degree of separation of assemblages: the closer the value to 1, the greater the difference in assemblage composition (Clarke and Warwick, 2001).  
Significant effects are shown in bold type.

(Tukey,  $p = 0.004$ )(Figure 2a). However, in the *N. dombeyi* and *A. chilensis* forest, the abundance increased over years and the difference between years was not significant (Figure 2a).

Beetle rarefied species richness was similar between habitat types but varied over years (Table 1). On the three habitat types, species richness was intermediate in 2002, highest in 2003, and in 2004 it was significantly lower than in 2002 and 2003 (Figure 2b).






Beetle assemblage composition was similar among habitats and presented different patterns over post-fire years (Table 2). Ordination of beetle assemblages in relation to differences in habitat types and years (Figure 3a) illustrates that years is the stronger influence on beetle assemblages. Beetle assemblage presented a transition in composition from 2002 in the right, 2003 in the middle, and 2004 to the left of the figure (Figure 3a). Although there was some overlap in beetle assemblage composition in years 2003 and 2004, there was a distinct cluster for year 2002. Furthermore, beetle assemblages within years did not differ among habitat types (Figure 3a).

Indicator species analysis to identified species characteristics of habitat types was low (60%); however, the indicator value for years was higher with a maximum of 80%. For habitat types, indicator species analysis identified two species characteristic of shrubland community, one species characteristic of *N. dombeyi* forest, and two species of *A. chilensis* forest (Table 4). Four species were characteristic of 2002, five species of 2003, and three species of 2004 (Table 4).

### Vegetation structure and beetle assemblages

Early post-fire vegetation succession was



different between habitat types and years. Plant species richness differed between habitats and years (Table 3). The *A. chilensis* forest ( $47.4 \pm 1.8$ ) had more species than the shrubland community ( $38.7 \pm 1.8$ ; Tukey,  $p = 0.02$ ) and the *N. dombeyi* forest ( $37.7 \pm 1.8$ ; Tukey,  $p = 0.005$ ). Plant species richness was very low in 2002 ( $38.5 \pm 1.2$ ) compared with 2003 ( $42.6 \pm 0.9$ ; Tukey,  $p = 0.0002$ ), and 2004 was similar to 2003 ( $42.2 \pm 1.4$ ; Tukey,  $p = 0.0005$ ). Vegetation cover and height were similar among habitats but differed among years (Table 3). Total vegetation cover was very low in 2002 ( $70.5\% \pm 3.3$ ) and differed from 2003 and 2004. In 2003, it increased to  $101.7\% \pm 2.6$  (Tukey,  $p = 0.0001$ ), and in 2004, it was similar to 2003 ( $118.91\% \pm 3.32$ ; Tukey,  $p = 0.0001$ ). On the other hand,

vegetation height increased over years and differed between them (Tukey,  $p < 0.05$ ). In 2002, vegetation reached  $80.0 \text{ cm} \pm 1.9$ , and, in 2003, it reached  $101.7 \text{ cm} \pm 2.6$ . It was  $118.9 \text{ cm} \pm 3.3$  in 2004. Plant assemblage composition differed between habitats and years (Table 2). Ordination of plant assemblages in relation to habitat types and years differences (Figure 3b) illustrates that both influence vegetation assemblages. On the top, *N. dombeyi* forest was separated from the shrubland and *A. chilensis* forest, which were overlapped at the bottom (Table 2, Figure 3b). Among years, plant species composition presented a transition from 2002 in the left, to 2003 in the middle, and 2004 to the right (Table 2, Figure 3b); however, years 2003 and 2004 overlapped (Figure 3b).

**Table 3.** ANOVA results for plant species richness, vegetation height and cover at different habitat types, during 2002-2004.

	Habitat		Year		Habitat x year*	
	F	p	F	p	F	p
Plant richness	8.97	<b>0.004</b>	15.22	<b>&gt;0.0001</b>	0.74	0.57
Vegetation cover	1.14	0.35	77.45	<b>&gt;0.0001</b>	0.12	0.98
Vegetation height	2.65	0.11	177.43	<b>&gt;0.0001</b>	1.78	0.16

\* Statistical analysis included 3 habitats x 3 years. Error term DF: 24.

**Table 4.** Abundance and Indicator Value (%) of beetle species for each habitat (shrubland community, *N. dombeyi* and *A. chilensis* forest) and over years (2002, 2003 and 2004).

Species	Abundance			Indicator Value	p
	Shrubland	<i>N. dombeyi</i> forest	<i>A. chilensis</i> forest		
<i>Tetragonoderus viridis</i>	<b>174</b>	77	35	52.73	*
<i>Systolosoma breve</i>	<b>43</b>	30	5	44.1	*
<i>Metius blandus</i>	4	<b>10</b>	2	33.33	*
<i>Arthrobranchus nigromaculatus</i>	0	0	<b>12</b>	26.67	*
<i>Nyctelia rotundipennis</i>	0	0	<b>20</b>	26.67	*
Years	2002	2003	2004		
<i>Eriopsis conexa</i>	<b>23</b>	0	0	80	*
<i>Calosoma vagans</i>	<b>233</b>	29	0	77.07	*
<i>Epicauta</i> sp.	0	4	<b>26</b>	69.33	*
<i>Adonia variegata</i>	<b>12</b>	0	0	66.67	*
<i>Trirammatius uniestratus</i>	45	449	<b>757</b>	60.51	*
<i>Tetragonoderus viridis</i>	31	<b>187</b>	68	56.67	*
<i>Anisostichus laevis</i>	3	17	<b>44</b>	55	*
<i>Staphylinidae M6</i>	3	<b>83</b>	52	52.13	*
<i>Staphylinidae M3</i>	0	<b>23</b>	12	43.81	*
<i>Bruchidae M1</i>	0	<b>6</b>	1	28.57	*
<i>Staphylinidae M5</i>	0	<b>9</b>	2	27.27	*
<i>Euspilotus bisignatus</i>	<b>16</b>	0	0	26.67	*

In bold are species characteristic of each habitat and year with Indicator Value > 25% and \* p-value < 0.05.


Only one significant relationship was found between beetle diversity and structural vegetation characteristics (richness, height, and cover) including the three vegetation types together. Beetle richness increased with vegetation cover ( $r = 0.54$ ,  $p = 0.04$ ) (Figure 4). There was no significant relationship between beetle abundance and plant richness ( $r = 0.3$ ,  $p = 0.2$ ), vegetation cover ( $r = 0.2$ ,  $p = 0.5$ ) or vegetation height ( $r = 0.2$ ,  $p = 0.5$ ), nor between beetle abundance and vegetation richness ( $r = 0.03$ ,  $p = 0.9$ ), and vegetation height ( $r = 0.3$ ,  $p = 0.3$ ). Mantel test detected a positive association between coleopteran assemblage and vegetation assemblage for 2004 data ( $r = 0.48$ ,  $p = 0.004$ ). In addition, BIOENV analysis revealed that beetle species composition over years was best explained by vegetation cover ( $\rho = 0.8$ ) in shrubland, by

plant richness ( $\rho = 0.6$ ) in *N. dombeyi* forest, and by vegetation cover ( $\rho = 0.4$ ) in *A. chilensis* forest.

## Discussion

### Beetle succession

Although these results arise from a case study (one large fire), this research offers strong evidence over time (three consecutive years) of post-fire beetle succession in Patagonia. The assumption was that habitat pre-fire conditions would determine different post-fire beetle communities. However, the results indicated that beetle communities varied more over years than among habitats during the early regeneration after fire. Different beetle abundance patterns were found over years on each habitat type. Beetle abundance in



shrubland peaked in 2003, but, beetle abundance increased over three years in *N. dombeyi* and *A. chilensis* forest. Beetles rarefied species richness for all habitats also varied among years, with a highest number of species in 2003. Despite the number of beetle species found - it was relatively low compared to previous results (Sackmann and Farji-Brener 2006) - differences were found between years. Shrubland abundance and rarefied richness both showed the highest value for 2003. This could be due to several non-exclusive causes. One possible explanation could be beetle species survival and arrival after the wildfire (Moretti et al. 2006). Some species could have benefited from recent post-fire conditions and immigrated to the burned area and, also, some species could survive wildfire, varying abundance and richness over years. Another explanation could be due to climatic variations among years and extreme climatic events (e.g. droughts). These events are known to alter life history traits such as breeding phenology (Ayres and Lombardero 2000). During the 3-yr study period, environmental conditions varied markedly (INTA Bariloche), particularly precipitation. The 2001-2002 growing season was dry (October-March: 27.16 mm/month). In contrast, the 2002-2003 growing season was extremely wet (55.33 mm/month), and the 2003-2004 growing season was the driest (22.16 mm/month). Blanch et al. (2001) showed that the number of individuals, families, and species was strongly determined by seasonal and yearly patterns of rainfall. When substantial rain fell before the time of sampling, total beetle abundance and richness increased markedly (Blanche et al. 2001). Moreover, shrubland plant communities are well adapted to regenerate by resprouting after fire (Veblen et al. 2003). This plant community could have taken advantage of this

limiting resource (rain), increasing growth and indirectly influencing the ability of beetles to obtain food and shelter, as well as directly affecting microclimatic conditions necessary for their survival (Niwa and Peck 2002). Overall, these variations in precipitation could explain the pattern found in beetle abundance in shrubland and species richness over years in all habitats, which were highest in 2003.

Parallel to the results of beetle abundance and richness, differences in beetle species composition were found between years rather than between habitats. There was a shift in beetle assemblage composition with time since fire in all habitat types. The year 2002 was very different from the years 2003 and 2004, and all habitats showed similar beetle composition over the years. This successional beetle pattern occurred concurrently with the vegetation successional pattern, although vegetation also changed among habitats. As a result, beetle succession could be more influenced by recolonization and survivorship, accompanied by climatic conditions and recovery rate of plants communities over years (Bess et al. 2002) than by pre-fire habitat conditions. All habitats in 2002 supported species that may have colonized the recently burned habitat and/or species that may have survived the disturbance (Baker 2006), such as *Eriopsis conexa*, *Calosoma vagans*, *Adonia variegata* and *Euspilotus bisignatus*. These species are from the families Histeridae, Tenebrionidae and Coccinellidae, which are associated with open habitats that seemed to have benefited from habitat alteration induced by fire (Sackmann and Farji-Brener 2006). However, in 2003 and 2004, characteristic species were present in both years, but their abundance changed. In 2003, *Tetragonoderus viridis* (Carabidae) and morphospecies of Staphilinidae and Bruchidae were the characteristic species. The families

Carabidae and Staphilinidae are predators, and Bruchidae are seed predators (Borror et al. 1992). Staphilinidae were associated with decaying materials and moist microsites, such as under stones and other objects on the ground. In 2004, *Trirammatus uniestratus* and *Anisostichus laevis*, both Carabidae (predators), and *Epicauta* sp. (Meloidae) (herbivorous) were the characteristic species. Assemblage composition appeared to shift rapidly 3-5 years after the fire as was previously found by Bess et al. (2002). These results suggested that wildfire in NW Patagonia can have a substantial, short-term impact on beetle abundance and species composition, but further research following the post-fire succession over time would be worthwhile.

On the other hand, vegetation diversity varied with both habitat types and years since the fire. Post-fire plant species richness and composition differed among habitat types, and there were changes in vegetation cover, height, plant richness and composition over years. *Austrucedrus chilensis* forest had the highest plant richness, probably because it was the driest (Veblen et al. 2003), and it also had the most heterogeneous habitat in terms of light and soils. This environmental variability and heterogeneity led to the establishment of more plant species. Conversely, *N. dombeyi* forest differed in species composition from shrubland and *A. chilensis* forest. Those two habitats shared species and species abundance, despite differing in species richness. Plant richness, vegetation cover, and composition increased greatly from 2002 to 2003. This plant recolonization was probably due to the climatic variations and extreme events discussed above. Overall, pre-fire conditions determined different post-fire plant communities and successions in each habitat type.

It was predicted that beetle successional patterns would be related to vegetation structure, and the results partly support this prediction because there was succession over time in plants and beetles, but vegetation communities were also different between pre-fire habitat types. Beetle richness increased with vegetation cover, taking into account the three habitats together in 2004. Areas with more vegetation cover could be associated with habitat availability for many arthropods (Abensperg-Traun et al. 1996; Suominen et al. 1999), whereas this relationship was similar for the three habitats and consistent with the findings of previous studies conducted in the region (Sackmann and Farji-Brener 2006). Additionally, there was a positive correlation between beetle and vegetation assemblages in 2004. Beetle and vegetation assemblage succession patterns were parallel over years but not among habitats. There were differences in vegetation assemblages among habitats. Over years, beetle assemblage was related with vegetation cover in shrubland and the *A. chilensis* forest, however, beetle assemblage was related to plant richness in the *N. dombeyi* forest.

Pre-fire conditions of each habitat type determined different post-fire communities of plants, but not of beetles. The pattern of beetle succession was more influenced by the time since the fire than by habitat conditions pre-fire. Wildfires produce simplification and homogenization of habitat types, and this was reflected by beetle diversity. These findings suggest that beetles might not provide effective indicators of pre-fire habitat types.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Emiliano and Daniela Sasal, María Noelia Barrios-García-Moar, Norlan Tercero and Juan Paritsis for their field assistance. We thank María Laura Suarez for her help with figures. We also thank Sergio Roig-Juñet (Carabidae), Mariana Chani (Staphylinidae), Eider Ruíz-Manzanos (Scarabeidae), Gustavo E. Flores (Tenebrionidae), and Alfred Newton (Leiodidae) for their assistance with beetle identifications. Administración de Parques Nacionales allowed access to the study site. This study receives the support of the National Science Foundation (NSF 9421881), “Agencia de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (PICT 01-07320BID OC-AR) and “Universidad Nacional del Comahue” (B 103).

## References

- Abensperg-Traun M, Smith GT, Arnold GW, Steven DE. 1996. The effects of habitat fragmentation and livestock-grazing on animal communities in remnants of gimlet *Eucalyptus salubris* woodland in the Western Australian wheatbelt. 1. Arthropods. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 33: 1281-1301.
- Alauzis MV, Mazzarino MJ, Raffaele E, Roselli L. 2004. Wildfires in NW Patagonia: Long term effects on *Nothofagus* forest soil. *Forest Ecology and Management* 192: 131-142.
- Ayres MP, Lombardero MJ. 2000. Assessing the consequences of global change for forest disturbance from herbivores and pathogens. *The Science of the Total Environment* 262: 263-286.
- Baker SC. 2006. A comparison of litter beetle assemblages (Coleoptera) in mature and recently clearfelled *Eucalyptus obliqua* forest. *Australian Journal of Entomology* 45: 130-136.
- Barros V, Cordon V, Moyano C, Mendez R, Forquera J, Pizzio O. 1983. *Cartas de Precipitación de la Zona Oeste de las Provincias de Río Negro y Neuquén. Primera Contribución*. Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias. Universidad Nacional del Comahue.
- Bess EC, Parmenter RR, McCoy S, Molles MCJ. 2002. Responses of a riparian forest-floor arthropod community to wildfire in the middle of Río Grande Valley, New Mexico. *Environmental Entomology* 31(5): 775-784.
- Blanche KR, Andersen AN, Ludwig JA. 2001. Rainfall-contingent detection of fire impacts: Responses of beetles to experimental fire regimes. *Ecological Applications* 11(1): 86-96.
- Bond WJ, van Wilgen BW. 1996. *Fire and Plants*, 1<sup>st</sup> edition. Chapman & Hall.
- Borror DJ, Triplehorn CA, Johnson NF. 1992. *Study of Insects*, 6<sup>th</sup> edition. Saunders College Publishing.
- Bulan CA, Barrett GW. 1971. The effect of two acute stresses on the arthropod component of an experimental grassland ecosystem. *Ecology* 52: 597-535.
- Clarke KR. 1993. Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 18: 117-143.
- Clarke KR, Ainsworth M. 1993. A method of linking multivariate community structure to environmental variables. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 92: 205-219.

- Clarke KR, Gorley RN. 2001. *PRIMER V5: User manual tutorial*. Plymouth Marine Laboratory.
- Clarke KR, Warwick RM. 2001. *Change in marine communities: An approach to statistical analysis and interpretation Primer-E Ltd*. Plymouth Marine Laboratory.
- De Fina AL. 1972. El clima de la región de los bosques Andino-Patagónicos. In: Dimitri M, editor. *La Región de Los Bosque Andino-Patagónico, Sinopsis General*. pp. 35-58. Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria.
- Dufrêne M, Legendre P. 1997. Species assemblages and indicator species: The need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. *Ecological Monographs* 67(3): 345-366.
- Farji-Brener AG, Corley JC, Bettinelli J. 2002. The effects of fire on ant communities in north-western Patagonia: The importance of habitat structure and regional context. *Diversity and Distributions* 8: 235-243.
- Ghermandi L, Guthmann N, Bran D. 2004. Early post-fire succession in northwestern Patagonia grasslands. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 15(1): 67-76.
- Gobbi M, Puntieri J, Calvelo S. 1995. Post-fire recovery and invasion by alien plant species in a South American woodland-steppe ecotone. In: Pysek P, Prach K, Rejmanek M, Wade M, editors. *Plant Invasions: General Aspects and Special Problems*. pp. 105-117. SPB Academic Publishing.
- Gotelli NJ, Colwell RK. 2001. Quantifying biodiversity: procedures and pitfalls in the measurement and comparison of species richness. *Ecology Letters* 4: 379-391.
- Gotelli NJ, Entsminger GL. 2001. *EcoSim: Null models software for ecology*. Acquired Intelligence Inc. & Kesey-Bear. <http://garyentsminger.com/ecosim/index.htm>
- Kitzberger T, Steinaker DF, Veblen TT. 2000. Effects of climatic variability on facilitation of tree establishment in northern Patagonia. *Ecology* 81: 1914-1924.
- Kitzberger T, Veblen TT. 1999. Fire-induced changes in northern Patagonian landscapes. *Landscape Ecology* 14: 1-15.
- Kitzberger T, Veblen TT, Villalba JJ. 1997. Climatic influences of fire regimes along a rain forest to xeric woodland gradient in northern Patagonia, Argentina. *Journal of Biogeography* 24: 35-47.
- Koivula M, Punttila P, Haila Y, Niemelä J. 1999. Leaf litter and the small-scale distribution of carabid beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) in the boreal forest. *Ecography* 22: 424-435.
- Legendre P, Legendre L. 1998. *Numerical Ecology*. Elsevier
- Lövei GL, Sunderland KD. 1996. Ecology and behavior of ground beetles (Coleoptera: carabidae). *Annual Review of Entomology* 41: 231-256.
- Mantel N. 1967. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. *Cancer Research* 27: 209-220.
- McGeoch MA, Chown SL. 1998. Scaling up the value of bioindicators. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 13(2): 46-47.
- Mead R. 1988. *The design of experiments*, 1<sup>st</sup> edition. Cambridge University Press

- Mermoz M, Kitzberger T, Veblen TT. 2005. Landscape influences on occurrence and spread of wildfires in Patagonia forest and shrublands. *Ecology* 86(10): 2705-2715.
- Moretti M, Duelli P, Obrist MK. 2006. Biodiversity and resilience of arthropod communities after fire disturbance in temperate forests. *Oecologia* 149: 312-327.
- Moretti M, Obrist MK, Duelli P. 2004. Arthropod biodiversity after forest fires: Winners and losers in the winter fire regime of the Southern Alps. *Ecography* 27(2): 173-186.
- Morrone JJ, Roig-Juñent S. 1995. *The Diversity of Patagonian Weevils*. Ediciones LOLA
- Niemelä J. 1990. Habitat distribution of carabid beetles in Tierra del Fuego, South America. *Entomologia Fennica* 1: 1-15.
- Niemelä J, Langor D, Spence JR. 1993. Effects of clear-cut harvesting on boreal ground beetle assemblages (Coleoptera: Carabidae) in western Canada. *Conservation Biology* 7: 551-561.
- Niwa C, Peck R. 2002. Influence of prescribed fire on Carabid beetle (Carabidae) and spider (Araneae) assemblages in forest litter in southwestern Oregon. *Environmental Entomology* 31 (5): 785-796.
- Orgeas J, Andersen AN. 2001. Fire and biodiversity: Responses of grass-layer beetles to experimental fire regimes in an Australian tropical savanna. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 38(1): 49-62.
- Paritsis J, Raffaele E, Veblen TT. 2006. Vegetation disturbance by fire affects plant reproductive phenology in a shrubland community in northwestern Patagonia, Argentina. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 30(3): 387-395.
- Paruelo JM, Beltrán AB, Sala OE, Jobbágy EG, Golluscio RA. 1998. The climate of Patagonia general patterns and controls on biotic processes. *Ecología Austral* 8: 85-104.
- Raffaele E, Veblen TT. 1998. Facilitation by nurse shrubs of resprouting behavior in post-fire shrubland in northern Patagonia, Argentina. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 9: 693-698.
- Raffaele E, Veblen TT. 2001. Effects of cattle grazing on early postfire regeneration of matorral in northwest Patagonia, Argentina. *Natural Areas Journal* 21(3): 243-249.
- Rykken JJ, Capen DE, Mahabir SP. 1997. Ground beetles as indicators of land type diversity in the green mountains of Vermont. *Conservation Biology* 11(2): 522-530.
- Sackmann P, Farji-Brener AG. 2006. Effect of fire on ground beetles and ants assemblages along an environmental gradient in NW Patagonia: Does habitat matter? *Ecoscience* 13(3): 360-371.
- Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ. 1995. *Biometry: The Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research*, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Freeman and Company.
- Suominen O, Danell K, Bryant JP. 1999. Indirect effects of mammalian browsers on vegetation and ground-dwelling insects in an Alaskan floodplain. *Ecoscience* 6(4): 505-510.
- Swengel AB. 2001. A literature review of insect responses to fire, compared to other conservation managements of open habitat. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 10(7): 1141-1169.



Turner MG, Baker WL, Peterson CJ, Peet RK. 1998. Factors influencing succession: Lessons from large, infrequent natural disturbance. *Ecosystems* 1: 511-523.

Veblen TT, Kitzberger T, Lara A. 1992. Disturbance and forest dynamics along a transect from Andean rain forest to Patagonia shrubland. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 3: 507-520.

Veblen TT, Kitzberger T, Raffaele E, Lorenz DC. 2003. Fire history and vegetation changes in Northern Patagonia, Argentina. In: Veblen TT, Baker WL, Montenegro G Swetnam TW, editors. *Fire and Climatic Change in Temperate Ecosystems of the Western Americas*. pp. 265-295. Springer.

Veblen TT, Kitzberger T, Villalba R, Donnegan J. 1999. Fire history in northern Patagonia: The roles of human and climatic variation. *Ecological Monographs* 61(1): 47-67.

Veblen TT, Lorenz DC. 1987. Post-fire stand development of *Austrocedrus-Nothofagus* forests in northern Patagonia. *Vegetation* 71: 113-126.

Veblen TT, Lorenz DC. 1988. Recent vegetation changes along the forest/steppe ecotone in northern Patagonia. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 78: 93-111.

Whelan R. 1995. *The ecology of fire*. Cambridge University Press.